```
Case 2:10-cv-01397-ECR-VCF Document 23 Filed 04/13/12 Page 1 of 2
 1
2
3
 4
                        UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                             DISTRICT OF NEVADA
 5
6
 7
   JUDY COHN,
                                             2:10-cv-01397-ECR-VCF
8
        Plaintiff,
9
                                            Order
   vs.
10
   MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Commissioner of
11
   Social Security,
12
        Defendant.
13
14
        On November 3, 2011, the Magistrate Judge filed a Report and
15
   Recommendation (#19), recommending that the Court affirm the
16
   Administrative Law Judge's ("ALJ") decision and deny Plaintiff's
17
   Motion to Remand (#13).
18
        Plaintiff filed objections to the Report and Recommendation
19
   (#22), specifically challenging the Magistrate Judge's consideration
20
   of the lay witness statement by Plaintiff's friend, Ellen Hainey.
21
   Ms. Hainey's letter was not submitted until after the ALJ held the
22
   hearing on this matter, and the ALJ did not specifically address the
23
   lay witness testimony. Ms. Hainey's letter was considered by the
24
   Appeals Council, however, which found no basis to change the ALJ's
25
             The ALJ's conclusions are not inconsistent with Ms.
   decision.
26
   Hainey's letter. For these reasons, the Magistrate Judge concluded
27
   that if Ms. Hainey's letter was mistakenly omitted from the record,
28
```


1	the error was harmless under <u>Stout v. Commissioner</u> , 454 F.3d 1050,
2	1054-1057 (9th Cir. 2006).
3	When an ALJ fails to address lay witness testimony, a
4	heightened harmless error standard applies, such that "a reviewing
5	court cannot consider the error harmless unless it can confidently
6	conclude that no reasonable ALJ, when fully crediting the testimony
7	could have reached a different disability determination." Stout,
8	454 F.3d at 1056. We agree with the Magistrate Judge's
9	determination that Ms. Hainey's letter is not inconsistent with the
10	ALJ's decision and therefore a failure to address it was harmless.
11	Plaintiff did not object to the other findings and
12	recommendations of the Report and Recommendation ($\sharp 19$). The Report
13	and Recommendation (#19) is well-taken, and is therefore APPROVED
14	AND ADOPTED.
15	The ALJ's decision is AFFIRMED , and Plaintiff's Motion to
16	Remand (#13) is DENIED .
17	The Clerk shall enter judgment accordingly.
18	
19	
20	DATED: April 13, 2012.
21	Edward C. Keed.
22	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2